

2007
25-08-2007

The Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006¹

[Act 6 of 2007]

[January 10, 2007]

*An Act to provide for the prohibition of solemnisation of child marriages
and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto*

Be it enacted by Parliament in the Fifty-seventh Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

1. Short title, extent and commencement.—(1) This Act may be called the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006.

(2) It extends to the whole of India ²[* * *]; and it applies also to all citizens of India without and beyond India:

Provided that nothing contained in this Act shall apply to the Renoncants of the Union territory of Pondicherry.

(3) It shall come into force on such date³ as the Central Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint; and different dates may be appointed for different States and any reference in any provision to the commencement of this Act shall be construed in relation to any State as a reference to the coming into force of that provision in that State.

CASE LAW ► Applicability.—The Act applies to all citizens of India except State of Jammu and Kashmir. It is secular in nature and it applies to everyone irrespective of applicable personal law, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2011) 5 CTC 689 (Mad)(FB).

The purpose and rationale behind the Act is that there should not be a marriage of a child at a tender age as he/she is neither psychologically nor physically fit to get married, *Association For Social Justice & Research v. Union of India*, (2010) 118 DRJ 324 : (2010) 95 AIC 422.

There is no provision in the Act which debars a stranger to file a complaint alleging the offences under the Act, *Ismail K.K v. Ibrahim Haji*, CrI. M.C. No. 647 of 2012 (Ker HC).

2. Definitions.—In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires,—

- (a) “child” means a person who, if a male, has not completed twenty-one years of age, and if a female, has not completed eighteen years of age;
- (b) “child marriage” means a marriage to which either of the contracting parties is a child;

1. Received the assent of the President on January 10, 2007 and published in the Gazette of India, Extra., Part II, Section 1, dated 11th January, 2007, pp. 1-6, No. 6.
2. The words “except the State of Jammu and Kashmir” omitted by Act 34 of 2019, Ss. 95, 96 & Sch. V (w.e.f. 31-10-2019).
3. 1-11-2007 [Vide Noti. No. S.O. 1850(E), dt. 30-10-2007].

- (c) “contracting party”, in relation to a marriage, means either of the parties whose marriage is or is about to be thereby solemnised;
- (d) “Child Marriage Prohibition Officer” includes the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer appointed under sub-section (1) of Section 16;
- (e) “district court” means, in any area for which a Family Court established under Section 3 of the Family Courts Act, 1984 (66 of 1984) exists, such Family Court, and in any area for which there is no Family Court but a city civil court exists, that court and in any other area, the principal civil court of original jurisdiction and includes any other civil court which may be specified by the State Government, by notification in the Official Gazette, as having jurisdiction in respect of the matters dealt with in this Act;
- (f) “minor” means a person who, under the provisions of the Majority Act, 1875 (9 of 1875) is to be deemed not to have attained his majority.

CASE LAW ► Interpretation.—The Act enables a child or his/her guardian or next friend to file a petition for annulling a child marriage before the District Court which includes Family Court., *V. Prema Kumari v. M. Palani*, (2012) 2 CTC 727 : (2011) 5 LW 791 : (2012) 2 Mad LJ 362.

Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 is a special law for effectively preventing evil practice of solemnization of child marriages and to enhance health of child and status of women. Hindu Marriage Act is a general law regulating Hindu Marriages. Former being special law will have overriding effect over latter to extent of any inconsistency between two, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad) (FB).

► **Custody of Minor.**—Custody of minor wife cannot be granted to the husband even if he is adult, *Court on its own Motion (Lajja Devi) v. State*, (2012) 131 DRJ 225 : (2012) 193 DLT 619.

3. Child marriages to be voidable at the option of contracting party being a child.—(1) Every child marriage, whether solemnised before or after the commencement of this Act, shall be voidable at the option of the contracting party who was a child at the time of the marriage:

Provided that a petition for annulling a child marriage by a decree of nullity may be filed in the district court only by a contracting party to the marriage who was a child at the time of the marriage.

(2) If at the time of filing a petition, the petitioner is a minor, the petition may be filed through his or her guardian or next friend along with the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer.

(3) The petition under this section may be filed at any time but before the child filing the petition completes two years of attaining majority.

(4) While granting a decree of nullity under this section, the district court shall make an order directing both the parties to the marriage and their parents or their guardians to return to the other party, his or her parents or guardian, as the case may be, the money, valuables, ornaments and other gifts received on the occasion of the marriage by them from the other side, or an amount equal to the value of such valuables, ornaments, other gifts and money:

Provided that no order under this section shall be passed unless the concerned parties have been given notices to appear before the district court and show cause why such order should not be passed.

CASE LAW ▶ Validity of Marriage.—Section 3 of the Act declares that every child marriage, whether solemnized before or after the commencement of the said Act shall be voidable at the option of the contracting party who was a child at the time of the marriage, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad)(FB).

A Muslim girl attaining puberty i.e. 15 years can marry. Such marriage would not be a void marriage. She has option of treating marriage as voidable at time of her attaining age of majority, *Tahra Begum v. State of Delhi*, (2012) 116 AIC 862 (Del).

▶ **Jurisdiction.**—District Court under Section 3 is empowered to annul child marriages on a petition made by contracting party who was child at time of marriage, *V. Prema Kumari v. M. Palani*, (2012) 2 CTC 727 (Mad)(DB).

▶ **Custody of Minor.**—The bridegroom of a female child who has procured marriage, does not attain the status of husband of a full-fledged valid marriage till the child has attained majority and he is not entitled to the custody of minor child, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad)(FB).

If the welfare of the minor child is well protected if he/she is kept in the protective custody of the State, the Court can resort to such course, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad)(FB).

▶ **Limitation.**—If within two years from the date of attaining eighteen years in the case of a female and twenty-one years in the case of a male, a petition is not filed before the District Court under Section 3(1) of the said Act for annulling the marriage, the marriage shall become a full-fledged valid marriage, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad)(FB).

▶ **Child marriage.**—To avoid detrimental effects of child marriage, provisions for more stringent punishments for those mature adults who promote child marriages and who perform, conduct, direct or abet such marriages, required, *Independent Thought v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 800.

▶ **Marital rape.**—Sexual intercourse with minor (below 18 years) wife is rape. Exception 2 to Section 375 IPC is arbitrary, capricious, whimsical and violative of Articles 14, 15 and 21 of the Constitution of India. However, the verdict will apply prospectively, *Independent Thought v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 800.

Section 198(6) of the CrPC will apply to cases of rape of “wives” below 18 years, and cognizance can be taken only in accordance with the provisions of Section 198(6) of the Code, *Independent Thought v. Union of India*, (2017) 10 SCC 800.

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, in Section 3, after sub-section (1) the following shall be inserted, namely—

“(1-A) Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (1) every child marriage solemnized on or after the date of coming into force of the Prohibition of Child Marriage (Karnataka Amendment) Act, 2016 shall be void abinitio”. [Vide Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 2 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

4. Provision for maintenance and residence to female contracting party to child marriage.—(1) While granting a decree under Section 3, the district court may also make an interim or final order directing the male contracting party to the

child marriage, and in case the male contracting party to such marriage is a minor, his parent or guardian to pay maintenance to the female contracting party to the marriage until her remarriage.

(2) The quantum of maintenance payable shall be determined by the district court having regard to the needs of the child, the lifestyle enjoyed by such child during her marriage and the means of income of the paying party.

(3) The amount of maintenance may be directed to be paid monthly or in lump sum.

(4) In case the party making the petition under Section 3 is the female contracting party, the district court may also make a suitable order as to her residence until her remarriage.

5. Custody and maintenance of children of child marriages.—(1) Where there are children born of the child marriage, the district court shall make an appropriate order for the custody of such children.

(2) While making an order for the custody of a child under this section, the welfare and best interests of the child shall be the paramount consideration to be given by the district court.

(3) An order for custody of a child may also include appropriate directions for giving to the other party access to the child in such a manner as may best serve the interests of the child, and such other orders as the district court may, in the interest of the child, deem proper.

(4) The district court may also make an appropriate order for providing maintenance to the child by a party to the marriage or their parents or guardians.

6. Legitimacy of children born of child marriages.—Notwithstanding that a child marriage has been annulled by a decree of nullity under Section 3, every child begotten or conceived of such marriage before the decree is made, whether born before or after the commencement of this Act, shall be deemed to be a legitimate child for all purposes.

7. Power of district court to modify orders issued under Section 4 or Section 5.—The district court shall have the power to add to, modify or revoke any order made under Section 4 or Section 5 and if there is any change in the circumstances at any time during the pendency of the petition and even after the final disposal of the petition.

8. Court to which petition should be made.—For the purpose of grant of reliefs under Sections 3, 4 and 5, the district court having jurisdiction shall include the district court having jurisdiction over the place where the defendant or the child resides, or where the marriage was solemnised or where the parties last resided together or the petitioner is residing on the date of presentation of the petition.

9. Punishment for male adult marrying a child.—Whoever, being a male adult above eighteen years of age, contracts a child marriage shall be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees or with both.

CASE LAW ▶ Applicability.—The punishment provided in Section 9 of the said Act applies only to male adult marrying a minor girl, *Sunita Rani v. State of Punjab*, CrI. Misc. No. M-22227 of 2013 (Punjab & Haryana HC).

Section 9 is not applicable to cases where female adult marries male child i.e. male who is below the age of 21 yrs. Section 9 only applies to cases where male above the age of 18 yrs marries a female below the age of 18 yrs. Thus, held, words “male adult above 18 yrs of age, contracts a child marriage” in Section 9 of the 2006 Act, should be read as “male adult above 18 yrs of age marries a child”, *Hardev Singh v. Harpreet Kaur*, (2020) 19 SCC 504.

▶ **Punishment.**—Giving a minor girl in marriage to a person who is already married, would attract Section 494 of IPC and provisions of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, *Gurdass Singh v. State of Punjab*, CrI. Misc. No. M-12313 of 2012 (O&M) (P&H HC).

Since a child marriage as defined in the Act itself is an offence and the same is cognizable, it does not require any complaint to the police to register a case and to investigate. On any information regarding such a child marriage, the Police has got a legal duty to register a case and to prosecute the offender by filing an appropriate final report. If the contracting party to the marriage of a female child is a male who is not a child undoubtedly, he is an offender punishable under section 9 of the Act, *T. Sivakumar v. Inspector of Police*, (2012) 1 MWN (Cri) 481 (Mad)(FB).

Since a marriage between the persons below 18 years of age is declared to be an offence under the Act of 2006, giving custody of a child to a person who has contracted marriage with such child in violation of the Act of 2006, would virtually amount to perpetrating an offence under the orders of the Court, *Pratapa Ram v. State of Rajasthan*, (2012) 119 AIC 512 : (2012) 79 ACC (Sum 82) 24.

In order to constitute an offence under Section 9 of the Act, the accused must have knowledge that he is getting married with a child, *Balveer Singh v. State of Uttarakhand*, Criminal Appeal No. 212 of 2013 (Uttanchal HC).

▶ **Consent.**—If a girl above 16 years of age, makes a statement that she went with her consent and the statement and consent is without any force, coercion or undue influence, the statement could be accepted and Court will be within its power to quash the proceedings under Section 363 or 376 IPC. The Court has to be cautious, for the girl has right to get the marriage nullified under Section 3 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act. Attending circumstances including the maturity and understanding of the girl, social background of girl, age of the girl and boy etc. have to be taken into consideration, *Court on its own Motion (Lajja Devi) v. State*, (2012) 131 DRJ 225 : (2012) 193 DLT 619.

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, in Section 9, for the words “be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years”, the words “be punishable with rigorous imprisonment of not less one year which may extend up to two years” shall be substituted. [Vide Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 3 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

10. Punishment for solemnising a child marriage.—Whoever performs, conducts, directs or abets any child marriage shall be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years and shall be liable to fine which may extend to one lakh rupees unless he proves that he had reasons to believe that the marriage was not a child marriage.

CASE LAW ▶ Applicability.—The punishment provided in Section 10 of the said Act applies to both, male and female, *Sunita Rani v. State of Punjab*, CrI. Misc. No. M-22227 of 2013 and CrI. Misc. No. M-31065 of 2013 (P & H HC).

▶ **Abetment.**—The person who has performed or abetted a child marriage will be equally liable for punishment, *Amnider Kaur v. State of Punjab*, (2010) 1 RCR (Civil) 191 : (2010) 1 RCR (Cri) 261 : (2010) 89 AIC 503.

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, in Section 10 of the principal Act, for the words “be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years”, the words “be punishable with rigorous imprisonment of not less than one year which may extend up to two years” shall be substituted. [Vide Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 4 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

11. Punishment for promoting or permitting solemnisation of child marriages.—(1) Where a child contracts a child marriage, any person having charge of the child, whether as parent or guardian or any other person or in any other capacity, lawful or unlawful, including any member of an organisation or association of persons who does any act to promote the marriage or permits it to be solemnised, or negligently fails to prevent it from being solemnised, including attending or participating in a child marriage, shall be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years and shall also be liable to fine which may extend up to one lakh rupees:

Provided that no woman shall be punishable with imprisonment.

(2) For the purposes of this section, it shall be presumed, unless and until the contrary is proved, that where a minor child has contracted a marriage, the person having charge of such*minor child has negligently failed to prevent the marriage from being solemnised.

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, in Section 11, in sub-section (1), -

(a) for the words “be punishable with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years”, the words “be punishable with a rigorous imprisonment of not less than one year which may extend up to two years” shall be substituted.

(b) proviso shall be omitted*. [Vide Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 5 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

12. Marriage of a minor child to be void in certain circumstances.—Where a child, being a minor—

- (a) is taken or enticed out of the keeping of the lawful guardian; or
- (b) by force compelled, or by any deceitful means induced to go from any place; or
- (c) is sold for the purpose of marriage; and made to go through a form of marriage or if the minor is married after which the minor is sold or trafficked or used for immoral purposes,

such marriage shall be null and void.

CASE LAW ▶ Validity of marriages.—A Hindu marriage which is not a void marriage under the Hindu Marriage Act would continue to be such provided the provisions of Section 12 of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 are not attracted, *Jitender Kumar Sharma v. State*, (2010) 171 DLT 543 : (2010) 95 AIC 428 : (2010) 71 ACC (Sum 72) 20.

Marriage of a minor even under personal law would be void, only if, it attracts the provisions of Section 12 of the said Act. Otherwise, the marriage would remain voidable at the option of the parties, *Furqan v. State*, W.P. (Cri.) 1025/2012 (Del HC).

In order to constitute an offence under Section 12, it must be shown that the minor is taken or enticed out of the keeping of the lawful guardian. But where both the parties have run away and performed marriage with each other willfully, there can be no enticement, *Neelam Rani v. State of Haryana*, (2011) 1 RCR (Civil) 636.*

Even if the marriage is not void in terms of Section 12(a) of the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006, nor is it void in terms of any provision of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, nonetheless that does not absolve those who performed the marriage or those who abetted such performance, from being proceeded against by a competent person or authority, for violation of the provisions of the said Acts, *Sunita Rani v. State of Punjab*, CrI. Misc. No. M-22227 of 2013 and CrI. Misc. No. M-31065 of 2013 (P & H HC).

A minor girl who has been enticed away out of the keeping of her lawful guardian cannot contract a marriage and her marriage shall be null and void, *Amnider Kaur v. State of Punjab*, (2010) 1 RCR (Civil) 191 : (2010) 1 RCR (Cri) 261 : (2010) 89 AIC 503.

▶ **Jurisdiction.**—Where the marriage has been solemnised by the parties on their own free will, the Court in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr. P.C. will not go into the validity of the marriage that has been solemnized by the parties amongst themselves for that is the domain of the matrimonial Court of competent jurisdiction to declare the marriage as valid or void, *Preeti Sharma v. State of Punjab*, IIR (2011) 1 P&H 372.

13. Power of court to issue injunction prohibiting child marriages.—(1) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Act, if, on an application of the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer or on receipt of information through a complaint or otherwise from any person, a Judicial Magistrate of the first class or a Metropolitan Magistrate is satisfied that a child marriage in contravention of this Act has been arranged or is about to be solemnised, such Magistrate shall issue an injunction against any person including a member of an organisation or an association of persons prohibiting such marriage.

(2) A complaint under sub-section (1) may be made by any person having personal knowledge or reason to believe, and a non-governmental organisation having reasonable information, relating to the likelihood of taking place of solemnisation of a child marriage or child marriages.

(3) The Court of the Judicial Magistrate of the first class or the Metropolitan Magistrate may also take suo motu cognizance on the basis of any reliable report or information.

(4) For the purposes of preventing solemnisation of mass child marriages on certain days such as *Akshaya Trutiya*, the District Magistrate shall be deemed to be

the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer with all powers as are conferred on a Child Marriage Prohibition Officer by or under this Act.

(5) The District Magistrate shall also have additional powers to stop or prevent solemnisation of child marriages and for this purpose, he may take all appropriate measures and use the minimum force required.

(6) No injunction under sub-section (1) shall be issued against any person or member of any organisation or association of persons unless the court has previously given notice to such person, members of the organisation or association of persons, as the case may be, and has offered him or them an opportunity to show cause against the issue of the injunction:

Provided that in the case of any urgency, the court shall have the power to issue an interim injunction without giving any notice under this section.

(7) An injunction issued under sub-section (1) may be confirmed or vacated after giving notice and hearing the party against whom the injunction was issued.

(8) The court may either on its own motion or on the application of any person aggrieved, rescind or alter an injunction issued under sub-section (1).

(9) Where an application is received under sub-section (1), the court shall afford the applicant an early opportunity of appearing before it either in person or by an advocate and if the court, after hearing the applicant rejects the application wholly or in part, it shall record in writing its reasons for so doing.

(10) Whoever knowing that an injunction has been issued under sub-section (1) against him disobeys such injunction shall be punishable with imprisonment of either description for a term which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees or with both:

Provided that no woman shall be punishable with imprisonment.

CASE LAW ► Exercise of Power.—The District Magistrate is equipped with additional powers to stop or prevent solemnization of child marriages and for this purpose he may take all appropriate measures including use of minimum force required. The intention behind giving this additional power is to ensure that in no circumstances child marriages take place. The Collector may take all possible measures and use minimum force to strengthen the mechanism of stopping said child marriages. State Government is equipped with the power to issue notification for the purpose of appointing Child Marriage Prohibition Officer, *Shiv Bhanu Rathore v. State of M.P.*, Writ Petition No. 1896/2013 (Madhya Pradesh HC).

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, in Section 13, in sub-section (10),—

(a) for the words “of either description for a term which may extend to two years”, the words “with a minimum term of one year, which may extend up to two years” shall be *substituted*.

(b) proviso shall be *omitted*. [*Vide* Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 6 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

14. Child marriages in contravention of injunction orders to be void.—Any child marriage solemnised in contravention of an injunction order issued under Section 13, whether interim or final, shall be void ab initio.

15. Offences to be cognizable and non-bailable.—Notwithstanding anything contained in the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (2 of 1974), an offence punishable under this Act shall be cognizable and non-bailable.

CASE LAW ▶ Nature of offence.—An offence under Section 15 of the Act is cognizable and non-bailable, *Saidalavi v. State of Karnataka*, B.A. No. 4624 of 2012 (Ker HC).

▶ Overriding Effect.—The Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 does not repeal the Muslim Personal Law (Shariat) Application Act, 1937. Thus marriage of a Muslim girl continues to be governed by the personal law of Muslims, *Yunus Khan v. State of Haryana*, CRM No. 930 of 2014 and CRWP No. 1247 of 2013 (P&H HC).

STATE AMENDMENTS

KARNATAKA.—In its application to the State of Karnataka, after Section 15, the following shall be inserted, namely—

“15-A. *Police Officer to take cognizance of an offence suo-motto.*—Every Police Officer shall take cognizance of an offence committed in his jurisdiction under this Act, suo motto.”. [Vide Karnataka Act 26 of 2017, S. 7 (w.e.f. the date to be notified)]

16. Child Marriage Prohibition Officers.—(1) The State Government shall, by notification in the Official Gazette, appoint for the whole State, or such part thereof as may be specified in that notification, an officer or officers to be known as the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer having jurisdiction over the area or areas specified in the notification.

(2) The State Government may also request a respectable member of the locality with a record of social service or an officer of the Gram Panchayat or Municipality or an officer of the Government or any public sector undertaking or an office bearer of any non-governmental organisation to assist the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer and such member, officer or office bearer, as the case may be, shall be bound to act accordingly.

(3) It shall be the duty of the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer—

- (a) to prevent solemnisation of child marriages by taking such action as he may deem fit;
- (b) to collect evidence for the effective prosecution of persons contravening the provisions of this Act;
- (c) to advise either individual cases or counsel the residents of the locality generally not to indulge in promoting, helping, aiding or allowing the solemnisation of child marriages;
- (d) to create awareness of the evil which results from child marriages;
- (e) to sensitize the community on the issue of child marriages;
- (f) to furnish such periodical returns and statistics as the State Government may direct; and
- (g) to discharge such other functions and duties as may be assigned to him by the State Government.

(4) The State Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, subject to such conditions and limitations, invest the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer with such powers of a police officer as may be specified in the notification and



the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer shall exercise such powers subject to such conditions and limitations, as may be specified in the notification.

(5) The Child Marriage Prohibition Officer shall have the power to move the court for an order under Sections 4, 5 and 13 and along with the child under Section 3.

17. Child Marriage Prohibition Officers to be public servants.—The Child Marriage Prohibition Officers shall be deemed to be public servants within the meaning of Section 21 of the Indian Penal Code (45 of 1860).

18. Protection of action taken in good faith.—No suit, prosecution or other legal proceedings shall lie against the Child Marriage Prohibition Officer in respect of anything in good faith done or intended to be done in pursuance of this Act or any rule or order made thereunder.

19. Power of State Government to make rules.—(1) The State Government may, by notification in the Official Gazette, make rules for carrying out the provisions of this Act.

(2) Every rule made under this Act shall, as soon as may be after it is made, be laid before the State Legislature.

20. Amendment of Act No. 25 of 1955.—In the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, in Section 18, for clause (a), the following clause shall be *substituted*, namely:—

“(a) in the case of contravention of the condition specified in clause (iii) of Section 5, with rigorous imprisonment which may extend to two years or with fine which may extend to one lakh rupees, or with both.”.

21. Repeal and savings.—(1) The Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929 (19 of 1929) is hereby repealed.

(2) Notwithstanding such repeal, all cases and other proceedings pending or continued under the said Act at the commencement of this Act shall be continued and disposed of in accordance with the provisions of the repealed Act, as if this Act had not been passed.
